

VII - The Standard Models of Trade Theory Under Imperfect Competition

- **Purpose of this chapter:**

- **to present the standard trade models under imperfect competition**
- **to illustrate the various effects of free trade under imperfect competition presented in chapter 7**

- **Two different approaches presented here:**

- **1 : monopolistic competition *à la* Dixit-Stiglitz (Krugman [1980])**
- **2: Cournot competition on homogenous products and segmented markets (Brander [1981])**

Part 2. Cournot Competition with Homogenous Goods

- **Introduced by Brander [1981]**
- **Role of strategic interactions (a player strategy depends on the other player ones)**
- **Allow to consider the pro-competitive and efficiency effects of trade (mark-up are not constant) under imperfect competition, contrary to the DSK model**
- **2 country model that can be generalized to N countries and to non-homogenous products.**

1.1 The Equilibrium Under Autarky

- 1 homogenous good, no product differentiation
- Produced by n_i identical firms in country i
- Firm technology (same assumptions as in DSK)
 - constant labor productivity: g_i
 - ⇒ production: $q_i = g_i l_i$, where l_i is labor per firm
 - fixed cost: f_i
- Factor and good price: labor cost: w_i ; good price: p_i

- Firm profit: $\pi_i = p_i q_i - w_i l_i - f_i = \left(p_i - \frac{w_i}{g_i} \right) q_i - f_i$

Marginal cost

- **Consumer preferences: quasi linear / quadratic by (simplifying) assumption (many other possibilities)**

$$U_i = z_i + a_i * q_i^d - \frac{(q_i^d)^2}{2}.$$

z_i homogeneous good produced under perfect competition

Budget constraint is *income* = $z_i + p_i * q_i^d$

Max U_i w.r.t z_i under budget constraint; equivalent to max :

$$\textit{income} - p_i * q_i^d + a_i * q_i^d - \frac{(q_i^d)^2}{2} \quad \textit{w.r.t. } q_i^d.$$

\Rightarrow linear demand: $q_i^d = a_i - p_i$

✓ a_i : positive constant \Leftrightarrow Demand size

✓ note: non constant demand elasticity that decreases with the quantity:

$$\varepsilon = -\frac{\frac{dq}{dp} q}{p} = -\frac{dq}{dp} \frac{p}{q} = \frac{p}{a-p} = \frac{a-q}{q} = \frac{a}{q} - 1$$

- **Cournot competition: imperfect competition in quantity (Nash equilibrium) on homogenous goods.**
- **Assumption: simultaneous choice.**
- **First, short-run equilibrium characterization: the number of firms is exogenously fixed (such that profits are non-negative).**
- **Each firm maximizes its profit w.r.t. the quantity produced, taking into account the demand elasticity, assuming that the other firms hold their quantity constant.**
- **Market equilibrium: $q_i^d = n^i q_i \Rightarrow p_i = a_i - n_i q_i$**

- But a given firm maximizes its profits w.r.t \tilde{q}_i such that

$$p_i = a_i - \tilde{q}_i - (n_i - 1)q_i$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{firm program: } \underset{\tilde{q}_i}{\text{Max}} \left[\left(a_i - \frac{w_i}{g_i} - (n_i - 1)q_i - \tilde{q}_i \right) \tilde{q}_i - f \right]$$

- First order condition: $a_i - \frac{w_i}{g_i} - (n_i - 1)q_i - \tilde{q}_i - \tilde{q}_i = 0$

- Best-response (optimal quantity for given quantities produced by

$$\text{competitors): } \tilde{q}_i = \frac{1}{2} \left(a_i - \frac{w_i}{g_i} - (n_i - 1)q_i \right)$$

- **Nash equilibrium (intersection of the best-responses):**

→ all firms produce the same quantity since identical

→ quantity: $q_i = \frac{a_i - \frac{w_i}{g_i}}{1 + n_i}$

⇒ equilibrium price: $p_i = \frac{a_i + n_i \frac{w_i}{g_i}}{1 + n_i}$

Note:

→ firms produce in equilibrium, if demand is large enough compared to marginal cost \Leftrightarrow

$$q_i > 0 \Leftrightarrow a_i > \frac{w_i}{g_i} \text{ (assumed)}$$

\Rightarrow non negative marginal profit: $p_i \geq \frac{w_i}{g_i}$

\Rightarrow positive mark-up

→ mark-up:
$$\frac{p_i - \frac{w_i}{g_i}}{p_i} = \frac{a_i - \frac{w_i}{g_i}}{a_i + n_i \frac{w_i}{g_i}}$$

⇒ variable mark-up (contrary to DSK)

⇒ the mark-up decreases with the firm number

→ strategic interactions: the best-response is not a constant function but depends on the other firm strategies (their produced quantity here)

✓ in DSK, the best-response is constant, independent of the variety number: no strategic interaction.

Profits, consumer surplus and welfare

→ aggregate firm profits: $\Pi_i = n_i \pi_i = \frac{n_i \left(a_i - \frac{w_i}{g_i} \right)^2}{(1 + n_i)^2} - n_i f_i$

→ consumer surplus:

For a given firm:

$$S_i = \int_0^{q_i} (a_i - u) du - p_i q_i = \int_{p_i}^{a_i} (a_i - u) du = \frac{1}{2} (a_i - p_i) q_i = \frac{1}{2} (a_i - p_i)^2$$

For n_i firms :

$$S_i = \frac{n_i^2 \left(a_i - \frac{w_i}{g_i} \right)^2}{2(1 + n_i)^2}$$

→ total welfare:

$$W_i = S_i + \Pi_i = \frac{n_i(2+n_i)\left(a_i - \frac{w_i}{g_i}\right)^2}{2(1+n_i)^2} - n_i f_i$$

• **Country comparisons:**

the larger [higher a_i (demand), n_i (firm number)],

the more efficient [higher g_i (productivity), lower f_i (fixed costs), lower w_i (wage)] the country,

the higher the welfare under autarky.

- **Long run equilibrium: endogenous firm number**

→ the zero profit condition gives the firm number:

$$\pi_i = 0 \Rightarrow n_i = \frac{a_i - \frac{w_i}{g_i}}{\sqrt{f_i}} - 1$$

⇔ maximum firm number such that non-negative profits

✓ it is higher, the lower the economies of scale (low fixed cost)

→ long-run welfare:

$$W_i = S_i = \frac{\left(a_i - \frac{w_i}{g_i} - \sqrt{f_i} \right)^2}{2}$$

✓ larger than the short-run welfare

2.2 The Equilibrium Under Open Economy

- 2 countries, 1 and 2, producing exactly the same good (polar assumption compared to DSK)
- In each country, same preferences and technology as in the autarky case (here assume $g_i = g_j = 1$).
- In particular, total number of firms producing the good: $n_1 + n_2$ (short run assumption to begin with)

- **Segmented market assumption:**

- **firms sell the good both on local and foreign markets, but incur a trade cost when exporting (payed by the firm, contrary to DSK)**

- ✓ **the trade cost t is assumed to be additive.**

- **consumers buy the good only on their local market.**

→ firms separately choose the quantity they sell on each market:

✓ q_{ii} is the quantity produced by a firm located in country i and sold locally

✓ q_{ij} is the quantity produced by a firm located in country i and exported to j .

● Firm profit:

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_i &= p_i q_{ii} + p_j q_{ij} - t q_{ij} - w_i l_i - f_i \\ &= (p_i - w_i) q_{ii} + (p_j - w_i - t) q_{ij} - f_i\end{aligned}$$

● First, short-run equilibrium characterization: the number of firms is exogenously fixed (such that profits are non-negative)

- **Same Cournot-Nash equilibrium concept as under autarky:**

⇒ **firm program:** $Max_{\tilde{q}_{ii}, \tilde{q}_{ij}} [\pi_i(\tilde{q}_{ii}, \tilde{q}_{ij}, q_{ii}, q_{ij}, q_{ji}, q_{jj})]$ **with**

$$\pi_i(\tilde{q}_{ii}, \tilde{q}_{ij}, q_{ii}, q_{ij}, q_{ji}, q_{jj}) =$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (a_i - w_i - (n_i - 1)q_{ii} - n_j q_{ji} - \tilde{q}_{ii})\tilde{q}_{ii} + \\ & (a_j - w_i - t - (n_i - 1)q_{ij} - n_j q_{jj} - \tilde{q}_{ij})\tilde{q}_{ij} - f_i \end{aligned}$$

- **Best-response (optimal quantity for given quantities produced by competitors):**

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{q}_{ii} = \frac{1}{2} (a_i - w_i - (n_i - 1)q_{ii} - n_j q_{ji}) \\ \tilde{q}_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} (a_j - w_i - t - (n_i - 1)q_{ij} - n_j q_{jj}) \end{cases}$$

- **Nash equilibrium (intersection of the best-responses) when interior for both countries:**

$$\rightarrow \text{quantities: } \begin{cases} q_{ii} = \frac{a_i - w_i - n_j(w_i - (w_j + t))}{1 + n_1 + n_2} \\ q_{ij} = \frac{a_j - w_i - t - n_j(w_i + t - w_j)}{1 + n_1 + n_2} \end{cases}$$

$$\rightarrow \text{equilibrium price: } p_i = \frac{a_i + n_i w_i + n_j(w_j + t)}{1 + n_1 + n_2}$$

• **Possible corner equilibrium:**

$$\rightarrow q_{ii} > 0 \Leftrightarrow a_i - w_i - n_j(w_i - (w_j + t)) > 0$$

✓ **if $w_i < (w_j + t)$, always true**

$$\checkmark \text{ if } w_i > (w_j + t) \Leftrightarrow n_j < \frac{a_i - w_i}{w_i - (w_j + t)}$$

$$\rightarrow q_{ij} > 0 \Leftrightarrow a_i - w_i - t - n_j(w_i + t - w_j) > 0$$

✓ **if $w_i + t < w_j$, always true**

$$\checkmark \text{ if } w_i + t > w_j, \Leftrightarrow n_j < \frac{a_i - w_i - t}{w_i + t - w_j}$$

- **Full equilibrium characterization:**

→ **case 1: low cost / productivity differences,**

$$\Leftrightarrow w_j - t < w_i < w_j + t \Rightarrow$$

✓ **firms always produce for their local market: $q_{ii} > 0$**

✓ **firms export if the number of firms located in the other region is**

not too large: $q_{ij} > 0 \Leftrightarrow n_j < \frac{a_i - w_i - t}{w_i + t - w_j}$

→ **case 2: country j has a strong productive advantage** $\Leftrightarrow w_j + t \leq w_i$

✓ **firms in country j always produce for both markets:**

$$q_{jj} > 0 \text{ and } q_{ji} > 0$$

✓ **firms in country i export if the number of firms located in the**

other region is not too large: $q_{ij} > 0 \Leftrightarrow n_j < \frac{a_i - w_i - t}{w_i + t - w_j}$ and may

even not produce at all if this number is very large:

$$q_{ii} > 0 \Leftrightarrow n_j < \frac{a_i - w_i}{w_i - (w_j + t)}$$

✓ **note: clearly, $\frac{a_i - w_i - t}{w_i + t - w_j} < \frac{a_i - w_i}{w_i - (w_j + t)}$**

- **Long-run equilibrium**: # of firms producing in each country is endogenously determined such that profits are zero: $\pi_1 = \pi_2 = 0$
 - ✓ multiple equilibria may exist, in particular when markets are perfectly integrated and countries are identical.
- **Important conclusion**: as soon as markets are segmented, $t > 0$, and the firm number is not too large, cross trade exists, even if the good is homogenous, and even if countries are identical
 - ⇒ real intra-trade only due to imperfect competition.
 - ✓ note: in the long-run equilibrium under segmented markets, no intra-trade.

2.3 Trade Gains and Losses

- **Assumption of an interior equilibrium (low market segmentation, low asymmetries between countries)**
- **Short-run equilibrium: fixed and exogenous firm number in both countries**

- **Consumer surplus:**

→ **surplus variation: same sign as the price variation**

$$\Rightarrow S_i^* - S_i^a = \left(a_i + \frac{p_i^* + p_i^a}{2} \right) (p_i^a - p_i^*)$$

→ **price variation**

$$\frac{p_i^*}{p_i^a} = \frac{1 + n_i}{1 + n_1 + n_2} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{n_j(w_j + t)}{a_i + n_i w_i} \right)$$

✓ **decrease thanks to the pro-competitive effect, $\frac{1 + n_i}{1 + n_1 + n_2}$**

✓ **the larger n_j , the larger the decrease.**

- Firm profit variation

→ notation: $\Pi_i = \Pi_{ii} + \Pi_{ij} - n_i f_i$, where Π_{ij} is the variable profit on market j of firms located in i

$$\rightarrow \frac{\Pi_{ii}^*}{\Pi_{ii}^a} = \frac{n_i (a_i - w_i + n_j (w_j + t - w_i))^2 / (1 + n_1 + n_2)^2}{n_i (a_i - w_i)^2 / (1 + n_i)^2}$$

$$\frac{\Pi_{ii}^*}{\Pi_{ii}^a} = \left(\frac{1 + n_i}{1 + n_1 + n_2} \right)^2 \left(1 + \frac{n_j (w_j + t - w_i)}{a_i - w_i} \right)^2$$

→ the double effect of trade on local profit

✓ pro competitive effect: $\frac{1 + n_i}{1 + n_1 + n_2}$

the firm number increases, the mark-up decreases, the profit reduces.

✓ **production and rent shifting effect**

$$1 + \frac{n_j(w_j + t - w_i)}{a_i - w_i}$$

✓ **if $w_j + t < w_i$, new competitors are more productive than local producers, which decreases the local profit**

✓ **if $w_j + t > w_i$, new competitors are less productive than local producers, which increases the good price and next the local profit (dominated effect in general)**

✓ **lower profit loss (compared to autarky), the higher the trade cost (closes the market)**

→ new export profit (if markets not too segmented)

$$\Pi_{ij}^* = \frac{n_i \left(a_j - (w_i + t) + n_j (w_j - (w_i + t)) \right)^2}{(1 + n_1 + n_2)^2}$$

✓ larger, the larger the export country, the higher the local productivity, the lower the competitors productivity, the lower the trade cost, the lower the competitor number (except if strong competitive advantage)

- **Special cases**

→ **same productivity ($w_1 = w_2 = w$)**

$$\frac{\Pi_{ii}^*}{\Pi_{ii}^a} = \left(\frac{1 + n_i}{1 + n_1 + n_2} \right)^2 \left(1 + \frac{n_{jt}}{a_i - w} \right)^2$$

pro-competitive effect stronger (\Rightarrow local profit loss), unless market segmentation is large

may be compensated by the export profit (if export country large etc...)

→ if, moreover, same country size (demand ($a_1 = a_2$) and firm number ($n_1 = n_2$)) and perfectly integrated markets ($t = 0$):

$$\checkmark \frac{\Pi_i^* - n_i f_i}{\Pi_i^a - n_i f_i} = 2 \left(\frac{1+n}{1+2n} \right)^2 < 1: \text{ loss}$$

$$\checkmark \frac{sc_i^*}{sc_i^a} = 4 \left(\frac{1+n}{1+2n} \right)^2 > 1: \text{ gain}$$

$$\checkmark \frac{W_i^* - n_i f_i}{W_i^a - n_i f_i} = \frac{4(1+n)^3}{(2+n)(1+2n)^2} > 1: \text{ gain}$$

⇒ only the pro-competitive effect (direct deadweight loss decrease + efficiency gains)

● **Conclusions**

- **to determinate which effects dominate when trade is liberalized under imperfect competition, one has to work on the analytics.**
- **do not forget: partial equilibrium analysis**
 - ✓ **e.g., if profits are zero, the country does not care on having or not firms located in**
 - ✓ **under general equilibrium: this becomes critical (no firms \Rightarrow no employment \Rightarrow no income)**
- **liberalization effects under imperfect competition and general equilibrium: see economic geography**

● References

Brander, J., 1981. "Intra-industry Trade in Identical Commodities", *Journal of International Economics*, 11, 1-14.

Dixit, A., and J. Stiglitz, 1977. "Monopolistic Competition, and Optimum Product Diversity", *American Economic Review*, 67, 297-308.

Ethier, W., 1982. "National, and International Returns to Scale", *American Economic Review*, 72, 389-405.

Krugman, P., 1980. "Scale Economies, Product Differentiation, and the Pattern of Trade", *American Economic Review*, 70, 950-959.